

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,084 03/19/2004		Walter J. Smith	1370.002A	7707
23405	7590 06/01/200	EXAMINER		
	THENBERG FAR	YAO, SAMCHUAN CUA		
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE ALBANY, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1733	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/805,084	SMITH, WALTER J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA: Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 May 2006</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-81 is/are pending in the application.	_					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-72,74 and 75</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>73 and 76-81</u> is/are rejected.	⊠ Claim(s) <u>73 and 76-81</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	}d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Spranger (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/805,084

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 73 and 77-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Araki et al (US 3,702,054).

Araki et al teaches a carbon fiber having a star cross-sectional configuration (col. 1 lines 43-55). In view that, both the present invention and Araki et al applies a carbon for making a star-shaped fiber, and further in view that, nothing in the original disclosure indicating that the star shaped carbon fiber of the present invention has been subjected to any treatment operation, the star-shaped carbon fiber of Araki is reasonably taken to be "capable of sustaining temperatures of at least a minimum temperature for at least about 20,000 hours (claim 79), 30,000 hours (claim 80), and 30,000 hours (claim 81), where the minimum operating temperature is "400 °C"

Note: Where ... the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, the PTO can require an applicant to prove that the prior art products do not

Application/Control Number: 10/805,084 Page 3

Art Unit: 1733

necessarily or inherently possess the characteristics of his claimed product. Whether the rejection is based on "inherency" under 35 USC § 102, on prima facie obviousness" under 35 USC § 103, jointly or alternatively, the burden of proof is the same, and its fairness is evidenced by the PTO's inability to manufacture products or to obtain and compare prior art products." In re Best, 562 F2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433-4 (CCPA 1977).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 76 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araki et al (US 3,702,054) in view of anyone of JP 2000045174 A, JP 352148219 A and JP 60162868 A.

Absent any showing of unexpected benefit, since: a preference on whether to form a star-shaped carbon fiber which has an arcuate pointed portion, a sharp pointed portion, or a blunt pointed portion is taken to be well within the purview of choice in the art, and it is a common practice in the art to form star-shaped fibers with arcuate pointed portions for the desired end-use of a result star-shaped fiber as evidence from anyone of JP '174 A (figures 1c-1e), JP '219 A (figures 2a-2b) and JP '868 A (figures 1-2), this claim would have been obvious in the art.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 1733

5. Counsel's arguments and applicant's declaration have been considered.

However, they are moot in light of a new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Chuan C. Yao whose telephone number is (571) 272-1224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with second Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sam Chuan C. Yao Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

Scy 05-26-06